Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.) 7, 245-248 (1967)

Relationes

Approximate Natural Orbitals for Carbon ¹S*

VEDENE H. SMITH, Jr.

Quantum Chemistry Group, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden

Received December 23, 1966

A convenient method of comparison of approximate wavefunctions of electronic systems is by means of the natural analysis of Löwdin [5]. Detailed calculations have been made for two-electron systems^{**} and four-electron systems [1] which have proved to provide much necessary information for their understanding as well as for that of the role of the density matrix in quantum mechanics (*n*representability problem) [3].

The present note presents natural spin orbitals (NSO) and occupation numbers for the lowest ¹S state of (six-electron) Carbon for an approximate wavefunction of Boys [2]***, (the best available in the literature) and for the exact wavefunction in the limit of infinite nuclear charge ($Z \to \infty$).

The method of calculation is straight-forward from the definition of the natural spin orbitals ϕ_i and occupation numbers v_i as the eigenfunctions and eigenvalues respectively of the one particle density matrix

$$\gamma_{\psi}(1,1') = n \int \psi(1,2,\ldots,n) \,\psi^*(1',2,\ldots,n) \,d\tau_2 \,d\tau_3\ldots d\tau_n \tag{1}$$

or expanded in terms of its eigenfunctions:

$$\gamma_{\psi}(1,1') = \sum_{i} \nu_{i} \phi_{i}(1) \phi_{i}^{*}(1') .$$
⁽²⁾

Thus one must calculate γ (1,1') for the wavefunction under consideration in some basis and then diagonalize its coefficient matrix. Since the wavefunctions under consideration are given as a sum of determinants of orthonormal orbitals, the coefficient matrix for $\gamma(1,1')$ expanded over this orbital basis may be constructed by the formalism of Löwdin [5] or an alternative formulation of KUTZELNIGG and SMITH [8].

^{*} The work reported in this paper has been sponsored in part by the King Gustav VI Adolf's 70-Years Fund for Swedish Culture, Knut and Alice Wallenberg's Foundation, and in part by the Aerospace Research Laboratories, OAR, through the European Office of Aerospace Research (OAR), United States Air Force.

^{}** For a list of references, see Ref. [1].

^{***} A preliminary calculation for this wavefunction was made by SHULL and LINDER-BERG [11].

Configuration	Coefficient ^b	
$(sA)^2 (sB)^2 (pA)^2$	0.92521556	
$(sAsC)$ $(sB)^2$ $(pA)^2$	$0.00588803 \times \sqrt{2}$	
$(sC)^2 (sB)^2 (pA)^2$	-0.01452600	
$(pC)^2 (sB)^2 (pA)^2$	0.01489597	
$(sA)^2 (pA)^4$	-0.23047119	
$(sA)^2$ $(sBsC)$ $(pA)^2$	$0.00955170 \times \sqrt{2}$	
$(sA)^2$ $(sBsD)$ $(pA)^2$	$-0.05933831 \times \sqrt{2}$	
$(sA)^2 (sB)^2 (pApB)$	$0.09054491 \times \sqrt{2}$	
$(sA)^2 (sB)^2 (pApD)$	$0.15642548 \times \sqrt{2}$	
$(sA)^2$ $(sBsC)$ $(pApB)$	0.03210498	
$(sA)^2$ $(sBsD)$ $(pApB)$	-0.05791850	
$(sA)^2 (sB)^2 (pB)^2$	-0.07216681	
$(sA)^2 (sB)^2 (dA)^2$	0.09057860	

Table 1. Boys' wavefunction for the lowest ¹S of Carbon^a

* S. F. Boys [2].

^b Boys' coefficients were given to only four significant figures and have been taken to be exact and renormalized more accurately [11]. Note that in these configurations, the symbol () indicates that the pair is singlet-coupled.

The treatment of the configurations $(1s)^2 (2s)^2 (2p)^2$ and $(1s)^2 (2p)^4$ requires some care. Since these are composed of determinants

$$\begin{array}{l} (1s)^2 \ (2s)^2 \ (2p)^2 = \ (3)^{-1/_2} \left\{ \det \left[(1s)^2 \ (2s)^2 \ (2p_0)^2 \right] - \right. \\ \left. - \det \left[(1s)^2 \ (2s)^2 \ 2p_{+\alpha} \ 2p_{-\beta} \right] - \right. \\ \left. - \det \left[(1s)^2 \ (2s)^2 \ 2p_{-\alpha} \ 2p_{+\beta} \right] \right\} \\ (1s)^2 \ (2p)^4 = \ (3)^{-1/_2} \left\{ \det \left[(1s)^2 \ 2p_{+\alpha} \ 2p_{-\alpha} \ 2p_{+\beta} \ 2p_{-\beta} \right] - \right. \\ \left. - \det \left[(1s)^2 \ 2p_{-\alpha} \ 2p_{-\alpha} \ 2p_{+\beta} \ 2p_{-\beta} \right] - \right. \\ \left. - \det \left[(1s)^2 \ 2p_{+\alpha} \ 2p_{0\alpha} \ 2p_{-\alpha} \ 2p_{-\beta} \ 2p_{-\beta} \right] , \end{array}$$

it is best to consider the 2p orbital members of the basis; $2p_0, 2p_+$, and $2p_-$

		•		
	ϕ_1	ϕ_3	ϕ_{17}	ϕ_{35}
sA	0.99998557	-0.00020144	-0.00291585	-0.00450764
sB	0.00013349	0.99787935	-0.06045417	0.02412630
sC	0.00537062	0.01251181	0.54189995	0.84033271
sD	0.00001616	-0.06387657	-0.83826084	0.54151505
	ϕ_5	ϕ_{11}	ϕ_{29}	ϕ_{37}
pA	0.98647124	-0.12566227	-0.10527819	0.00000000
pB	0.08055548	0.93088817	-0.35631172	0.00000000
pC	0.00000000	0.00000000	0.00000000	1.00000000
pD	0.14277716	0.34301052	0.92841718	0.00000000
	ϕ_{19}			
dA	1.00000000			

Table 2. Natural orbitals for the Boys function

Number	Type	Boys	True $(Z \rightarrow \infty)$
1, 2	8	0.99956127	1.00000000
3,4	8	0.94507540	0.95978474
5-10	p	0.34413502	0.34673842
11 16	\hat{p}	0.00329535	
17, 18	8	0.00182818	
19-28	d	0.00164089	
29 - 34	p	0.00087393	
35, 36	ŝ	0.00019632	
37-42	p	0.00007396	
$egin{array}{c} lpha \ eta \ au \ au$		1.340171	1.337677
		0.223362	0.222945
		2.844913	2.773907
2	e	1.504742	1.436230

Table 3. Occupation numbers for ¹S Carbon wavefunctions

separately in the analysis. Configurations of this type are present in both wavefunctions. In the true wavefunction $(Z \to \infty)$, these are the only two with coefficients squared of 0.95978474 and 0.04021526 respectively. The presence of these two configurations is due to the degeneracy between hydrogenic 2s and 2p orbitals [4]. The coefficient matrix is diagonal in the hydrogenic basis $1s\alpha$, $1s\beta$, $2s\alpha$, $2s\beta$, $2p_0\alpha$, $2p_0\beta$, $2p_{+}\alpha$, $2p_{+}\beta$, $2p_{-}\alpha$, $2p_{-}\beta$. Thus these spin orbitals are the NSO with occupation numbers given in Tab. 3, and reveals that even in the limit $(Z \to \infty)$ for this state $\gamma(1,1')$ is not idempotent. The eigenvalues for the s orbitals are doubly degenerate while those for the 2p orbitals are six-fold degenerate i.e. 2(2l+1) fold.

The results for these wavefunctions are interesting. As expected for a ¹S state, the eigenvalues are doubly degenerate with the two degenerate eigenfunctions, pure spin state functions i.e. the same spatial factor multiplied by α and β respectively. The behaviour of the eigenvalues with Z is as expected [7]: those occupied in the limiting case decrease as Z decreases, the unoccupied ones increase.

In contrast to the reported results for the two- and four-electron systems, where the eigenvalue distribution could be divided into two groups: the strongly-occupied ones $(v_i \sim 1)$ and the weakly occupied ones $(v_i \sim 0)$, the Carbon eigenvalues divide into strongly-occupied, weakly-occupied and an intermediate category represented by v_6 through v_{10} .

The n^{th} and $(n + 1)^{\text{st}}$ eigenvalues are equal, in fact $v_6 = v_7 = v_5 = v_8 = v_9 = v_{10}$. There is therefore no unique construction of a single Slater determinant from the first n NSO i.e. the best-density determinant [6, 8, 9]. This has an important implication for the classification of a state as of open or closed shell type [10] and leads to the classification of C(¹S) as of "open-shell" type [10].

Tab. 3 is completed by the values of the measure of "almost idempotency" of the one-particle density matrix [5, 8, 9]

$$\kappa = \mathrm{tr} \left(\gamma_{\psi} - \gamma_{\psi}^2 \right) = n - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu_i^2$$

and three measures of goodness of an idempotent approximation γ_{ϕ} (ϕ : single Slater determinent) to γ_{ψ} [8, 9] given by

$$egin{aligned} &lpha = n-2\sum\limits_{i=1}^n v_i + \sum\limits_{i=1}^\infty v_i^2\ η = lpha/n\ & au = 2(n-\sum\limits_{i=1}^n v_i)\ . \end{aligned}$$

These concepts are discussed in more detail in [8, 9].

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Professor P. O. Löwdin for his hospitality and discussions on this subject and to Professor J. LINDERBERG for communicating [11].

References

[1] BARNETT, G. P., J. LINDERBERG, and H. SHULL: J. chem. Physics 43, S80 (1965).

[2] Boys, S. F.: Proc. Roy. Soc. A217, 235 (1953).

[3] COLEMAN, A. J.: Rev. mod. Physics 35, 668 (1963).

[4] LINDERBERG, J., and H. SHULL: J. molecular Spectroscopy 5, 1 (1960).

[5] LÖWDIN, P. O.: Physic. Rev. 97, 1474 (1955).

[6] KUTZELNIGG, W., and V. H. SMITH, Jr.: J. chem. Physics 41, 897 (1964).

[7] — J. chem. Physics 42, 2791 (1965).

[8] — — Technical Note 130, Quantum Chemistry Group. Uppsala University 1964.

[9] — — Technical Note 138, Quantum Chemistry Group. Uppsala University 1964.

[10] — — To be published.

[11] SHULL, H., and J. LINDERBERG: Private communication.

Dr. V. H. SMITH, Jr. Quantum Chemistry Group Uppsala University Uppsala, Sweden